

EGU22-8426

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu22-8426>
EGU General Assembly 2022
© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Earthquake nowcasting: Retrospective testing in Greece 2019 - 2021

Gerasimos Chouliaras¹, Efthimios S. Skordas², and Nikolaos Sarlis²

¹Institute of Geodynamics, National Observatory of Athens, P.O. Box 20048, Athens 118 10, Greece (g.choul@noa.gr)

²National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Section of Condensed Matter Physics and Solid Earth Physics Institute, Department of Physics, School of Science, Athens, Greece (eskordas@phys.uoa.gr, nsarlis@phys.uoa.gr)

Earthquake nowcasting [1] (EN) is a modern method to estimate seismic risk by evaluating the progress of the earthquake cycle in fault systems [2]. EN employs natural time [3], which uniquely estimates seismic risk by means of the earthquake potential score (EPS) [1,4] and has found many useful applications both regionally and globally [1, 2, 4-10]. Among these applications, here we focus on those in Greece since 2019 [2], by using the earthquake catalogue of the Institute of Geodynamics of the National Observatory of Athens[11-13] (NOA) for the estimation of the EPS in various locations: For example, the ML(NOA)=6.0 off-shore Southern Crete earthquake on 2 May 2020, the ML(NOA)=6.7 Samos earthquake on 30 October 2020, the ML(NOA)=6.0 Tyrnavos earthquake on 3 March 2021, the ML(NOA)=5.8 Arkalohorion Crete earthquake on 27 September 2021, the ML(NOA)=6.3 Sitia Crete earthquake on 12 October 2021. The results are promising and reveal that earthquake nowcast scores provide useful information on impending seismicity.

[1] J.B. Rundle, D.L. Turcotte, A. Donnellan, L. Grant Ludwig, M. Luginbuhl, G. Gong, *Earth and Space Science* 3 (2016) 480–486. dx.doi.org/10.1002/2016EA000185

[2] J.B. Rundle, A. Donnellan, G. Fox, J.P. Crutchfield, *Surveys in Geophysics* (2021). dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10712-021-09655-3

[3] P.A. Varotsos, N.V. Sarlis, E.S. Skordas, *Phys. Rev. E* 66 (2002) 011902. dx.doi.org/10.1103/physreve.66.011902

[4] S. Pasari, *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 176 (2019) 1417–1432. dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-2037-0

[5] M. Luginbuhl, J.B. Rundle, D.L. Turcotte, *Pure and Applied Geophysics* 175 (2018) 661–670. dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-1778-0

[6] M. Luginbuhl, J.B. Rundle, D.L. Turcotte, *Geophys. J. Int.* 215 (2018) 753–759. dx.doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggy315

[7] N.V. Sarlis, E.S. Skordas, *Entropy* 20 (2018) 882. dx.doi.org/10.3390/e20110882

[8] S. Pasari, Y. Sharma, *Seismological Research Letters* 91 (6) (2020) 3358–3369. dx.doi.org/10.1785/0220200104

- [9] J. Perez-Oregon, F. Angulo-Brown, N.V. Sarlis, Entropy 22 (11) (2020) 1228.
[dx.doi.org/10.3390/e22111228](https://doi.org/10.3390/e22111228)
- [10] P.K. Varotsos, J. Perez-Oregon, E.S. Skordas, N.V. Sarlis, Applied Sciences 11 (21) (2021) 10093.
[dx.doi.org/10.3390/app112110093](https://doi.org/10.3390/app112110093)
- [11] G. Chouliaras, Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences 9 (3) (2009) 905–912.
[dx.doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-905-2009](https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-9-905-2009)
- [12] G. Chouliaras, N.S. Melis, G. Drakatos, K. Makropoulos, Advances in Geosciences 36 (2013) 7–9. [dx.doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-36-7-2013](https://doi.org/10.5194/adgeo-36-7-2013)
- [13] A. Mignan, G. Chouliaras, Seismological Research Letters 85 (3) (2014) 657–667.
[dx.doi.org/10.1785/0220130209](https://doi.org/10.1785/0220130209)